Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/563,409	BUSCEMA, PAOLO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SON T. HOANG	2165	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowable</u>		
(1) SON T. HOANG (Examiner).	(3)		
(2) FRANCO SERAFINI (Attorney of record).	(4)		
Date of Interview: 19 April 2010	Time: <u>14:00</u>		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No			
If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.  Rejection(s) discussed: Final Office action mailed on July 30, 2009.			
Claims discussed: 72, 77-78, 82, 87-88, 99, 103-104, 108, 112-113, 131, and 136-137.			
Prior art documents discussed: Agrafitotis (Pub. No. US 2002/0091655) and Shmulevich (Pub. No. US 2003/0225718)			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
/Neveen Abel-Jalil/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2165 /8. T. H./ Examiner, Art Unit 2165	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)	

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

- 1. Examiner suggested Applicant to clarify the terms "marriage" and "mutation" in all independent claims.
- 2. Examiner suggested Applicant to add claims 77-78 into claim 72 and make similar amendments for all independent claims.
- 3. Applicant agreed to Examiner's suggestion and authorized an examiner's amendment.